

## **Estudios Económicos**

Scientific journal of the Departamento de Economía of the Universidad Nacional del Sur, and the Instituto de Investigaciones Económicas y Sociales del Sur, CONICET and Universidad Nacional del Sur  
August 2017

### **CODE OF ETHICS AND STANDARDS OF GOOD PRACTICES**

*Estudios Económicos* is an academic journal dedicated to the publication of scientific research with a firm commitment to complying with ethical standards and good editorial practices. Along this line, this Code of Ethics and Standards of Good Practices is based on the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors. All participants in the process of preparation and publication of an article (authors, referees, editorial and honorary boards) must respect the principles expressed in this document.

#### **Responsibilities and Obligations for authors**

Authors should:

- ensure that the articles submitted for evaluation constitute unpublished manuscripts, that is to say, they are works that have not been previously published. Likewise, it is not an ethical behavior to submit a manuscript for evaluation that has been evaluated in another journal.
- ensure that the data and/or statistical information used in the preparation of the work have been collected ethically.
- prepare their manuscripts in accordance with the presentation rules established by the Editorial Board.
- present a detailed description of the work done and the references to allow its replication, as well as an objective discussion of its importance. The presentation of its meaning and implications must be objective and commensurate with the results of the study. Fraudulent or deliberately inaccurate statements are unacceptable.
- incorporate in the work the references of all the articles that have served as a guide for the preparation of the manuscript. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes an unacceptable practice. Consequently, any manuscript incurring plagiarism will be returned to those who have submitted it.
- indicate, if any, the funding sources of the study and the conflicts of interest of the authors.
- notify the Director of the journal in case the published article contains numerical, conceptual, spelling or typographical errors in order to be corrected.
- participate in the peer review process and in the editing stage, each time the Director of the journal requires it.

- ensure that any individuals who have made a significant contribution to the research are included in the article, and, in addition, that all of them have seen and approved its final version and presentation.

### **Responsibilities and obligations for editors**

The editors, and exceptionally the members of the Honorary Advisory Board, are responsible for evaluating the manuscripts and conducting a first review (by filling the pre-evaluation form) about their intellectual content (the importance of the article, its originality, its clarity and the relevance of the subject of study for the journal). The manuscript evaluation procedure must be the same for all articles submitted to the journal, regardless of race, gender, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, ethnicity, nationality, or political philosophy of the authors. In addition, the acceptance or rejection decision of the manuscript cannot be influenced by a conflict of interest related to authors, work or their funders. In case of not being able to be objective, the editors must state it and depart from the evaluation of that work.

### **The members of the Editorial Board should**

- ensure compliance with the double-blind characteristic of the evaluation process. Likewise, they should not disclose the content of the articles submitted for evaluation. In the same vein, they should not use the manuscripts sent to the journal in personal research studies.
- notify the other members of the Editorial Board in case errors are detected in articles already published, and proceed to their correction. The Editorial Board must publish a detailed description of the review process to be informed to the authors.

The final decisions regarding the articles, the issue in which they are published and the order of the articles within that issue are the responsibility of the Editorial Board.

### **Responsibilities and obligations for referees**

Reviewers/referees must support the Editorial Board to achieve scientific excellence in the selection of manuscripts that meet significant quality standards. For this purpose, they must complete the evaluation form following the guidelines established for that purpose.

In case the reviewers do not consider themselves sufficiently expert in the subjects addressed by the manuscript or not being able to meet the deadlines established by the editorial committee, they must notify the Editorial Board in order to assign alternative reviewers/referees. Reviewers/referees must perform their duties objectively, clearly arguing their opinion.

During the review process, the reviewers/referees must advise the authors about relevant references that have not been incorporated in the manuscripts. Moreover, should they detect a potential/possible plagiarism or that the manuscript under evaluation has already been published, the reviewers/referees must report it to the Editorial Board.

Reviewers/referees should ensure compliance with confidentiality and the double-blind evaluation process. In addition, the information expressed in the manuscripts cannot be shared during the process. Finally, reviewers/referees should not total or partially use any information contained in the articles that are in the process of evaluation or that have been rejected.